# Temporal trends in hospitalizations due to infectious diseases: A comparative analysis

Manizhe Jozpanahi<sup>1</sup>, Yasamin Zoljalali<sup>1</sup>, Amir Hosein Moradi<sup>2</sup>, Fatemeh Hemmati<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Infectious Disease, Zanjan University of Medical Sciences, Zanjan, Iran

<sup>2</sup> Student Research Committee, School of Medicine, Zanjan University of Medical Sciences, Zanjan, Iran

Objective: This study aimed to examine the causes of hospitalizations in the infectious diseases department of a tertiary institutional hospital in Zanjan, Iran, during the first 6 months of 2019 and 2022, focusing on the impact of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19).

Methods: Medical records of 429 patients hospitalized with confirmed infectious diseases in the first 6 months of 2019 (pre-COVID) and 2022 (post-COVID) were reviewed. Data included cause of hospitalization, underlying conditions, laboratory tests, antibiotics, length of hospital stay, and mortality rates. IBM SPSS 24.0 was used for analysis, and p<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results: A total of 214 and 215 records from 2019 and 2022 were surveyed, respectively. Comparison of gender distribution between 2022 and 2019 showed a significant increase in male (61.08% vs. 52.33%) and a decrease in female (38.13% vs. 47.66%) hospitalizations (p<0.05). Respiratory tract infections were the most frequent cause of hospitalization, with pneumonia accounting for 29.9% of cases in 2019 and 21.4% in 2022 (p<0.05). The frequency of skin and soft tissue infections showed a significant increase (27% vs. 15.4%) in 2022 (p<0.05). Positive urine and blood cultures were significantly more frequent in 2022, while CRP levels and neutrophil counts were markedly higher in 2019 (p<0.05). Use of ceftriaxone, cefazolin, (p<0.05). ICU transfer and mortality rates were 3.7% and 1.4% in both periods, respectively.

Conclusions: Respiratory diseases remain a major cause of hospitalization. Public education on controlling respiratory infections should be enhanced.

Keywords: infectious diseases, respiratory infections, covid-19, antibiotics, hospitalization

#### Address for correspondence:

Fatemeh Hemmati

MD, Department of Infectious Disease, Zanjan University of Medical Sciences, Zanjan, Iran

E-mail: Hemmatif02@gmail.com

Word count: 4842 Tables: 07 Figures: 00 References: 19

Received: 05 September, 2023, Manuscript No. OAR-23-147404 Editor assigned: 07 September, 2023, Pre-QC No. OAR-23-147404(PQ) Reviewed: 22 September, 2023, QC No. OAR-23-147404(Q) Revised: 29 September, 2023, Manuscript No. OAR-23-147404(R) Published: 05 October, 2023, Invoice No. J-147404

### INTRODUCTION

Infectious diseases continue to pose a significant global health threat, contributing to substantial morbidity and mortality despite advancements in prevention and treatment. These diseases remain a leading cause of death, with a complex burden that varies widely by region, age, and pathogen. In 2019, infectious diseases were associated with an estimated 1.3 million deaths in China, accounting for 12.1% of the country's total deaths. Bloodstream infections, lower respiratory infections, and intra-abdominal infections were particularly lethal, with pathogens such as Staphylococcus aureus, Acinetobacter baumannii, Escherichia coli, and Streptococcus pneumoniae being the most frequent culprits [1]. Moreover, Antimicrobial Resistance (AMR) exacerbates this burden, contributing to over 600,000 deaths annually. Globally, infectious diseases were responsible for 704 million Disability-Adjusted Life-Years (DALYs), representing 27.7% of the total DALYs from all causes, with children under 5 bearing 43.9% of this burden. Regions such as sub-Saharan Africa experience disproportionately high impacts, with 61.5% of the total regional burden attributed to infectious diseases. Leading pathogens, including Mycobacterium tuberculosis, Plasmodium spp. (Malaria), and HIV, account for significant DALYs, highlighting the ongoing need for targeted interventions and research investments. Additionally, other pathogens like Klebsiella pneumoniae, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Helicobacter pylori also contribute significantly to the disease burden, often leading to severe complications and higher healthcare costs [2].

The epidemiology of infections and infectious diseases in Iran reveals a diverse landscape influenced by demographic, environmental, and healthcare factors. In northern Iran, a study by Jahani et al. (2021) highlighted gastroenteritis (60.5% in men and 39.47% in women), leptospirosis, brucellosis, and sepsis as prevalent infectious diseases, with a significant rising trend in gastroenteritis and pulmonary tuberculosis. The study emphasized the need for targeted training, preventive strategies, and enhanced hospital infrastructure to manage these infections effectively [3]. In contrast, Ramezanzadeh et al. (2019) focused on the elderly population in Birjand, reporting a high incidence of pneumonia (52.4%), urinary tract infections (15%), and septicemia (13.7%) among hospitalized patients. This study highlighted the importance of addressing underlying conditions such as hypertension (36.1%), diabetes (11.5%), and chronic pulmonary diseases (9.7%) to mitigate infection risks [4]. Similarly, research

by Habibinejad et al. (2010) in Qom identified gastroenteritis Eligibility criteria (57.6%), pneumonia (11%), and urinary tract infections (7.1%) as the most common pediatric infections, recommending improved water hygiene and vaccination programs to reduce disease burden [5]. Sharifi-Mood et al. (2007) in Southeast Iran found tuberculosis (46%) and bronchopulmonary infections (27.9% combined for pneumonia and bronchitis) to be predominant among the elderly, stressing the critical role of vaccinations in preventing these conditions [6]. Despite these insights, the number of epidemiological investigations in Iran remains low, warranting further research to develop comprehensive public health interventions and improve infectious disease management across the country.

The corona virus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic has significantly impacted the prevalence, incidence, and trends of infectious diseases in Iran, highlighting shifts in hospitalization Additionally, all patients with a definite diagnosis of infectious patterns. Zand et al. (2023) observed a notable decrease in the incidence of Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia (VAP) from 23.5 to 17.2 cases per 1000 device-days, particularly due to a reduction in VAP caused by Acinetobacter baumannii. The incidence of Central Line-Associated Bloodstream Infections (CLABSI) and Catheter-Associated Urinary Tract Infections (CAUTI) also declined during the pandemic, with rates dropping from 7.3 to 6.5 and 2 to 1.4 cases per 1000 device-days, respectively [7]. Similarly, Jabrodini et al. (2023) reported an increase in Superficial-Cutaneous-Fungal Infections (SCFIs) during the pandemic, with positive cases rising from 44.45% to 55.54% among suspected patients. This increase was attributed to the extensive use of personal protective equipment and frequent use of disinfectants [8]. Jabarpour et al. (2021) found a 19.75-point reduction in nosocomial infection rates during the pandemic, with a dramatic 39.12-point decrease in CCU/ICU infections and significant reductions in medical-surgical unit infections, except for hematogenic infections, which increased by 40 points [9].

Notwithstanding these findings, the impact of COVID-19 on hospitalization trends due to infectious diseases in Iran has not been adequately addressed. The observed shifts in infection rates and the advent of new infection patterns underscore the respectively. prerequisite for further comprehensive studies to understand the long-term effects of the pandemic on infectious disease epidemiology. Accordingly, the present study aimed to evaluate the trends of hospitalization in the infectious diseases department of an institutional tertiary hospital in Zanjan during the first six months of 2019 (pre-COVID) and 2022 (post-COVID). Understanding these trends is crucial for developing effective public health strategies and improving infectious disease management in the post-pandemic era.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Study settings

The current investigation was a retrospective cohort study on the medical records of 429 patients with definite diagnoses of infectious diseases who were hospitalized in the infectious diseases department of a tertiary hospital in Zanjan, Iran, during two distinct time periods: the first six months of 2019 (pre-COVID) and the first six months of 2022 (post-COVID).

A set of inclusion and exclusion criteria were defined and adopted to recruit potentially eligible participants. Patients were considered eligible if their medical records indicated the presence of sepsis in the absence of a definite diagnosis of infectious disease. Sepsis was defined based on at least two of the following criteria:

- White cell count >12,000 cells/µL
- Fever >38°C
- Tachypnea or respiratory rate >20
- Tachycardia or hear rate >90
- Signs of organ failure (i.e., loss of consciousness, oliguria, acidosis, etc.)

disease including infections of the respiratory tract, gastrointestinal tract, urinary tract, skin and soft tissue and musculoskeletal system were included in the study.

Patients hospitalized without a confirmed diagnosis of infectious diseases or those with unclear infection foci were excluded from the study. This included patients initially transferred to the infectious diseases department with suspected sepsis syndrome, who were later determined to have systemic inflammatory response syndrome without an infectious agent, as well as cases of poisoning, drug side effects, pancreatitis, and similar conditions.

#### Sample size estimation

The minimum sample size was estimated according to the method described by Charan et al., using the epidemiological characteristics reported by Holman et al. for infectious diseases [10, 11]. Accordingly, assuming a confidence level of 95%, a power of 90%, a hospitalization rate of 0.20, and an accuracy level of 0.038, a minimum number of 429 samples was estimated for the present study. Samples were selected according to the simple random sampling method, using a table of random numbers. Ultimately, a total of 429 patients were selected, of whom 214 and 215 were hospitalized in pre-COVID and post-COVID periods,

### Study protocol

After sample selection, the medical records of the included patients were surveyed for qualitative and quantitative data including age, gender, cause of hospitalization, laboratory test results (i.e., blood cell counts, serum pro-inflammatory markers, blood and urine culture), antibiotics, length of hospital stay, and ICU transfer and mortality rates. This data was collected using a structured checklist, and then considered for statistical analysis.

#### Statical analysis

After collecting the relevant data, they were entered into SPSS24 software. Initially, descriptive statistics were reported for qualitative data (frequency and relative frequency) and quantitative data (mean ± SD). For analytical analysis, the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was first used to assess the normality of the variable distributions. In the condition that the test indicated normal distribution, the independent sample T-test or one-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was employed to compare the means of these variables across 2 or more groups. If the normality assumption was not met, the Mann-Whitney U test or the nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis test was used. To compare qualitative (N=214) and post-COVID variables, the Chi-squared test or Fisher's exact test was applied. A retrospectively surveyed. Based on age, the patients were significance level of less than 0.05 (p-value < 0.05) was considered classified in three subgroups. In both time periods, the most for the statistical analysis.

# Ethical considerations

patient medical records. Measures were taken to ensure patient markedly higher number of female patients compared to the confidentiality and data anonymization during the extraction and post-COVID group (47.66% vs. 38.13%), suggesting that the analysis processes. Ethical approval for the study protocol was COVID-19 might have resulted in declining and growing trends obtained from the Institutional Review Board (IRB), affirming in hospitalization of female and male patients in the infectious compliance with ethical principles outlined in the Declaration diseases department (p<0.05). The most frequent underlying of Helsinki and local regulations (Approval ID: IR.ZUMS. health condition was hypertension (29.80% vs. 30.80%) followed REC.1401.372).

# RESULTS

Tab. 1 of pati der dis pital st

In this study, a total of 429 patients, assigned to pre-COVID

(N=215) groups, were prevalent age subgroup was 25-65, followed by patients aged >65 and 12-25, with no statistically significant difference. In contrast, gender distribution was found to be significantly different The present investigation was a retrospective cohort study on between the 2 groups, with the pre-COVID group involving a by diabetes mellitus (22.80% vs. 20.60%). Length of hospital stay was slightly different between the 2 groups, with the post-COVID group showing a marginally higher mean duration of hospital stay (Table 1).

1. Demographic information	Variable			Group (		
tients including age and gen-				Pre-COVID (N=214)	Post-COVID (N=215)	p-value
istribution, and length of hos- stay	Age (n, %)		12-25	25(11.68%)	22(10.2%)	
			25-65	117(54.67%)	116(53.95%)	0.881
			>65	72(33.60%)	75(34.80%)	
	Gender (n, %)		Female	102(47.66%)	82(38.13%)	0.046
			Male	112(52.33%)	133(61.08%)	
	Underlying Condition	HTN	Yes	66(30.80%)	64(29.80%)	0.809
			No	148(69.20%)	151(70.20%)	
			Yes	44(20.60%)	49(22.80%)	0.575
		DIVI	No	170(79.40%)	166(77.20%)	
	Length of St	ay (day)		7.18 ± 5.510	7.24 ± 4.795	0.889

Site-specific distribution of infections is reported in table 2. Col- among patients hospitalized in the infectious diseases department. lectively, five distinct systems of the body were found to be af- As shown in table 2, skin infections (27.00% vs. 15.40%) were sigfected in the patients, of which the integumentary system was nificantly more prevalent in the post-COVID group compared to observed to be significantly affected by the COVID-19 pandemic the pre-COVID group (p<0.01).

Tab. 2. Comparison of site-specific	Site of Infection		Group		
distribution of infections among			Pre-COVID (N=214)	Post-COVID (N=215)	p-value
patients	Gastrointestinal tract	Yes	22(10.30%)	31(14.40%)	0.103
		No	192(89.70%)	184(85.60%)	0.193
	Conitourinon tract	Yes	34(15.90%)	35(16.30%)	0.912
	Genitourinary tract No		180(84.10%)	180(83.70%)	0.912
	Bones and joints	Yes	30(14.00%)	26(12.10%)	0.554
		No	184(86.00%)	189(87.90%)	0.554
		Yes	68(31.80%)	52(24.20%)	0.08
	Respiratory tract	No	146(68.20%)	163(75.80%)	0.08
	Skin	Yes	33(15.40%)	58(27.00%)	0.003
	экіп	No	181(84.60%)	157(73.00%)	0.003

A total of 12 different infectious diseases were recorded as final significantly different between the 2 groups, with both diseases diagnoses for the patients, which are summarized in table 3. Of being less frequently diagnosed in the post-COVID period comthese infectious diseases, only pneumonia (21.40% vs. 29.90%) pared to the pre-COVID period (p<0.05). and meningitis/encephalitis (0.50% vs. 3.30%) were found to be

**Tab. 3.** Comparison of the prevalence of different infectious diseases (final diagnosis) among patients

ri d Dia ani		Group	(N = 429)		
Final Diagnosis		Pre-COVID (N = 214)	Post-COVID (N = 215)	p-value	
<b>.</b> .	Yes	64 (29.90%)	46 (21.40%)		
Pneumonia	No	150 (70.10%)	169 (78.60%)	0.044	
	Yes	21 (9.80%)	28 (13.00%)	0.000	
Gastroenteritis	No	193 (90.20%)	187 (87.00%)	0.296	
Estable and solution	Yes	7 (3.30%)	12 (5.60%)	0.25	
Epididymo-orchitis	No	207 (96.70%)	203 (94.40%)	0.25	
<b>C</b>	Yes	23 (10.70%)	15 (7.00%)	0.160	
Sepsis	No	191 (89.30%)	200 (93.00%)	0.169	
	Yes	14 (6.50%)	12 (5.60%)	0.667	
Diabetic foot	No	200 (93.50%)	203 (94.40%)	0.667	
Septic arthritis	Yes	3 (1.40%)	3 (1.30%)	0.995	
	No	211 (98.60%)	212 (98.70%)		
	Yes	13 (6.10%)	13 (6.00%)	0.99	
Osteomyelitis	No	201 (93.90%)	202 (94%)		
D	Yes	16 (7.50%)	10 (4.70%)	0.22	
Brucellosis	No	198 (92.50%)	205 (95.30%)	0.22	
<b>T</b> have lasts	Yes	1 (0.50%)	4 (1.9%)	0.170	
Tuberculosis	No	213 (99.50%)	211 (98.10%)	0.179	
	Yes	9 (4.20%)	15 (7.00%)	0.212	
Herpes zoster infection	No	205 (95.80%)	200 (93.00%)	0.212	
Maninaitia (Francuska litti	Yes	7 (3.30%)	1 (0.50%)	0.020	
Meningitis/Encephalitis	No	207 (96.70%)	214 (99.50%)	0.030	
	Yes	34 (15.9%)	35 (16.30%)	0.012	
Urinary tract infection	No	180 (84.10%)	180 (83.70%)	0.912	

Table 4 shows the mean values of blood cell counts and pro-inflammatory markers between the 2 groups. Patients in the post-COVID group showed a significantly reduced mean level of CRP COVD group compared to the pre-COVID group (p<0.05).

<b>Tab. 4.</b> Comparison of blood cellcounts and serum pro-inflammato-ry markers between the two groups	Laboratory Tost	Group (	n value	
	Laboratory Test	Pre-COVID (N = 214)	Post-COVID (N = 215)	p-value
	ESR (mm/h)	36.58 ± 25.08	38.83 ± 28.80	0.497
	CRP (mg/dL)	114.94 ± 86.50	34.74 ± 34.22	0.001
	WBC (10 <sup>6</sup> cells/µL)	9.70 ± 6.62	9.70 ± 4.78	0.999
	Lymph (%)	22.26 ± 14.75	21.08 ± 12.06	0.369
	Neut (%)	64.04 ± 24.33	57.06 ± 32.27	0.013

Table 5 shows the frequency of positive and negative microbiolog- vs. 3.30%) was noticed in the post-COVID group, indicating sigical laboratory tests between the pre-COVID and post-COVID nificantly higher rates of systemic and genitourinary infection is group. As can be seen, a significant increase in the frequency of post-COVID period (p<0.01). positive blood cultures (2.30 *vs.* 0%) and urine cultures (20.00%

Tab. 5. Comparison of microbiolog-	Laboratory Test		Group (I		
ical laboratory test results between the two groups			Pre-COVID (N = 214)	Post-COVID (N = 215)	p-value
	Blood culture (n, %)	Positive	-	5 (2.30%)	0.001
		Negative	8 (3.70%)	87 (40.50%)	
		Not Reported	206 (96.30%)	123 (57.20%)	
		Positive	7 (3.30%)	43 (20.00%)	
	Urine culture (n, %)	Negative	149 (69.60%)	99 (46.00%)	0.001
	Not Reported		58 (27.10%)	73 (34.00%)	

	Positive	1 (0.50%)	-	
Stool culture (n, %)	Negative	23 (10.70%)	25 (11.60%)	0.582
	Not Reported	190 (88.80%)	190 (88.40%)	

vs. 17.30%), ciprofloxacin (33.50% vs. 23.80%), clindamycin to the pre-COVID group (p<0.05). (35.80% vs. 26.20%), cefazolin (9.3% vs. 2.3%) and meropenem

Tab. 6. Frequency of administration among groups of patients

Frequency of the administration of 28 antimicrobial agents were (23.70% vs. 12.10%) were found to be more frequently admincompared between the pre-COVID and post-COVID time istrated in the post-COVID group compared to pre-COVID periods (Table 6). Of these agents, 6 antibiotics were found to group to a significant extent (p<0.05). Conversely, the adminishave been differentially administrated when comparing the two tration frequency of levofloxacin (19.50% vs. 28.00%) was found groups of patients. As reported in table 6, ceftriaxone (30.20% to be significantly reduced in the post-COVID group compared

ntibiotics	Antibiotic		Group (	p-value	
different			Pre-COVID (N = 214) Post-COVID (N = 215)		
	Ceftriaxone	Yes	37 (17.30%)	65 (30.20%)	0.002
	Centriaxone	No	177 (82.70%)	150 (69.80%)	0.002
	Cefepime	Yes	27 (12.60%)	16 (7.40%)	0.074
		No	187 (87.40%)	199 (92.60%)	0.074
	Ciprofloxacin	Yes	51 (23.80%)	72 (33.50%)	0.027
	Cipronoxacin	No	163 (76.20%)	143 (66.50%)	0.027
	Levofloxacin	Yes	60 (28.00%)	42 (19.50%)	0.039
	Levonoxaciii	No	154 (72.00%)	173 (80.50%)	0.059
	Ofloxacin	Yes	3 (1.40%)	-	0.0.81
	Onoxacin	No	211 (98.60%)	215 (100%)	0.0.81
	Clindamycin	Yes	56 (26.20%)	77 (35.80%)	0.031
		No	158 (73.80%)	138 (64.20%)	0.031
	Coftoridimo	Yes	6 (2.80%)	13 (6.00%)	0.1
	Ceftazidime	No	208 (97.20%)	202 (94%)	0.1
	Veneerie	Yes	64 (29.90%)	60 (27.90%)	0.648
	Vancomycin	No	150 (70.10%)	155 (72.10%)	
	Contonuisia	Yes	9 (4.20%)	9 (4.10%)	0.9
	Gentamicin	No	205 (95.80%)	206 (95.90%)	
	A 111	Yes	12 (5.60%)	28 (9.10%)	0.106
	Azithromycin	No	202 (94.40%)	194 (90.20%)	
		Yes	5 (2.30%)	20 (9.30%)	0.000
	Cefazolin	No	209 (97.70%)	195 (90.70%)	0.002
		Yes	12 (5.60%)	10 (4.70%)	
	Doxycycline	No	202 (94.40%)	205 (95.30%)	0.6
	Amoxicillin/clavulanic	Yes	3 (1.40%)	1 (0.40%)	0.05
	Acid	No	211 (98.60%)	214 (99.60%)	0.08
		Yes	12 (5.6%)	17 (7.9%)	
	Metronidazole	No	202 (94.40%)	198 (92.10%)	0.3
		Yes	59 (27.6%)	44 (20.5%)	c
	Imipenem	No	155 (72.4%)	171 (72.5%)	0.085
		Yes	26 (12.10%)	51 (23.70%)	
	Meropenem	No	188 (87.90%)	164 (76.30%)	0.002
		Yes	10 (4.70%)	10 (4.70%)	
	Rifampin	No	204 (95.30%)	205 (95.30%)	0.991
		Yes	8 (3.70%)	4 (1.90%)	
	Linezolid	No	206 (96.30%)	211 (98.10%)	0.2

A	Yes	7 (3.30%)	5 (2.30%)	0.5
Ampicillin/sulbactam	No	207 (96.70%)	210 (97.70%)	0.5
	Yes	1 (0.50%)	1 (0.40%)	0.007
Cefotaxime	No	213 (99.50%)	214 (99.60%)	0.997
Cefuroxime	Yes	1 (0.50%)	1 (0.40%)	0.316
Ceruroxime	No	213 (99.50%)	214 (99.60%)	0.316
Amikacin	Yes	2 (0.90%)	1 (0.40%)	0.55
Amikacin	No	211 (99.10%)	214 (99.60%)	0.55
Churchtenerin	Yes	1 (0.50%)	1 (0.40%)	0.210
Streptomycin	No	213 (99.50%)	214 (99.60%)	0.318
Penicillin	Yes	1 (0.50%)	1 (0.40%)	0.210
Penicillin	No	213 (99.50%)	214 (99.60%)	0.318
Tatus a valia a	Yes	4 (1.90%)	1 (0.40%)	0.175
Tetracycline	No	210 (98.10%)	214 (99.60%)	0.175
<b>5</b> 1	Yes	4 (1.90%)	6 (2.80%)	0.527
Fluconazole	No	210 (98.10%)	208 (97.20%)	0.527
Trimethoprim/sulfa- methoxazole	Yes	6 (2.80%)	7 (3.30%)	0.785
	No	208 (97.20%)	208 (96.70%)	0.785
Aqualavir	Yes	12 (5.60%)	16 (7.40%)	0.442
Acyclovir	No	202 (94.40%)	199 (92.60%)	0.442

Rates of transfer to intensive care unit (3.70% *vs.* 3.70%) and morpost-COVID periods, indicating that the pandemic was not assotality (1.40% *vs.* 1.40%) were identical in both pre-COVID and ciated with poor prognosis of infectious diseases (Table 7).

CU transfer and	Variable		Group (		
different groups			Pre-COVID (N = 214)	Post-COVID (N = 215)	p-value
	ICI I Transfor (n. 97)	Yes	8 (3.70%)	8 (3.70%)	0.992
	ICU Transfer (n, %)	No	206 (96.30%)	207 (96.30%)	0.992
		Yes	3 (1.40%)	3 (1.40%)	0.005
Death (n, %)		No	111 (98.60%)	112 (98.60%)	0.995

# DISCUSSION

**Tab. 7.** Rates of IC mortality among d of patients

In the present investigation, we analyzed data from 429 patients, split into pre-COVID (N=214) and post-COVID (N=215) groups. Patients were categorized by age into 3 subgroups, with no significant differences in age distribution between the two periods. However, gender distribution differed significantly: with the pre-COVID group demonstrating a higher percentage of female patients compared to the post-COVID group (47.66% vs. 38.13%), suggesting a shift in gender trends in hospitalization with regard to infectious diseases. The most common underlying health conditions were hypertension and diabetes mellitus, with similar rates across both groups. The length of hospital stay was slightly longer in the post-COVID group. Notably, skin infections increased significantly post-COVID (27.00% vs. 15.40%). Pneumonia and meningitis/encephalitis decreased in the post-COVID period. Changes in antimicrobial use were observed, with increased administration of several antibiotics in the post-COVID group and a decrease in levofloxacin use. Rates of ICU transfer and mortality remained unchanged, suggesting no significant impact on the overall prognosis of infectious diseases due to the pandemic.

Our study highlights notable changes in the demographics and disease patterns of patients hospitalized in the infectious diseases department pre- and post-COVID-19 pandemic. The observed

significant gender shift, with a decrease in female patients and an increase in male patients post-COVID, may reflect behavioral or socio-economic factors influenced by the pandemic. This contrasts with the study by Yorita Christensen et al., which reported higher female hospitalization rates and a mortality rate of 4%, while our study found a consistent mortality rate of 1.4% across both periods. Age distribution in our study showed that patients over 65 were the largest group in 1401, similar to previous findings by Yorita Christensen et al. [12].

The most common cause of hospitalization was respiratory tract infections, with rates of 31.8% in pre-COVID and 24.3% in post-COVID groups. This is consistent with studies by Yorita Christensen et al. and Holman et al., which also identified respiratory infections as the leading cause of hospitalization due to infectious diseases [11, 12]. However, our study found a decrease in pneumonia cases from 29.9% in the pre-COVID group to 21.4% in the post-COVID group, differing from earlier studies. Urinary tract infections were the second most common cause in both periods of our study, aligning with Yorita Christensen et al., who reported a lower rate of 10% compared to our 15.9% in pre-COVID and 16.3% in post-COVID periods. Shichijo et al. (2023) similarly observed significant shifts in pediatric respiratory infection rates in Japan, with a marked re-emergence of RSV infections and an increased demand for hospital resources post-COVID, emphasizing the pandemic's impact on hospitalization dynamics [12, 13].

The increased prevalence of skin infections post-COVID in our study aligns with findings by Pan et al. (2022), who documented the sustained importance of dermatologic infections among neonatal patients during the pandemic [14]. These results suggest that while respiratory infections might have seen varied impacts due to non-pharmaceutical interventions, other types of infections persisted or even increased, as healthcare systems adapted to new challenges.

Our findings also differed from the report by Kim et al. on the high rate of negative cultures [15]. The study by Zhang et al. also noted a rise in sepsis diagnoses, which is partly in agreement with our results regarding the higher number of positive blood cultures in the post-COVID group [16]. Lastly, our study found a lower rate of negative urine cultures compared to Mostafavi et al., highlighting differences in culture results over time [17].

Our study also documented a differential administration of antibiotics, with a notable increase in the use of ceftriaxone, ciprofloxacin, clindamycin, cefazolin, and meropenem post-COVID, and a decrease in levofloxacin usage. This shift could be reflective of evolving microbial patterns and antibiotic resistance trends, paralleling findings by Gold et al. (2023), who reported an increased incidence and mortality of fungal infections during the CO-VID-19 pandemic, suggesting a need for rigorous antimicrobial monitoring [18].

Moreover, the unchanged rates of ICU transfers and mortality in our study indicate that while hospitalization patterns shifted, the overall prognosis for infectious diseases remained stable. This contrasts with Izu et al. (2023), who observed an increase in LRTI admissions post-pandemic, implying that while certain conditions The authors declare no competing interests. like RSV returned to pre-pandemic levels, the broader impact on

infectious disease mortality was perceptible [19]. These findings collectively highlight the varied impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on infectious disease epidemiology, underscoring the importance of continuous monitoring and adaptation of healthcare practices to address emerging trends in patient demographics and infection patterns.

# CONCLUSION

The present study indicated that the majority of patients hospitalized in the infectious disease department were male, and the most common cause of hospitalization was respiratory tract infections, aligning with global trends. Hypertension was the most prevalent underlying condition among these patients. The clinical indication for ICU admission and the mortality rate remained relatively stable across the study periods. However, there was a notable increase in the use of certain antibiotics and a higher rate of positive urine and blood cultures over time. These findings highlight the importance of enhanced public education on controlling respiratory infections and promoting vaccinations, particularly for highrisk groups. Early diagnosis and screening for hypertension should be prioritized. Additionally, addressing the low number of positive serological assays and the predilection for infrequent use of blood cultures is crucial for improving patient care.

# DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

All datasets will be made available upon reasonable request from the corresponding author.

# COMPETING INTERESTS STATEMENT

- 1. Karvandian K, Tadbir Vajargah K, Beigi S, Mohammadzadeh N, Ashouri M, et al. The association of seasonal variations and COVID-19 clinical features: a comparative study on the fourth and fifth waves. Int J Clin Pract. 2022;2022:8347103.
- REFERENCES 2. Zhang C, Fu X, Liu Y, Zhao H, Wang G. Burden of infectious diseases and bacterial antimicrobial resistance in China: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2019. Lancet Reg Health-West Pac. 2024.43
  - 3. Jahani MA, Alinasab Z, Rezapour M, Nava MO, Mahmoudi G. Pattern of infectious diseases in northern Iran: an approach to internal medicine management. Caspian J Intern Med. 2021;12:275-282.
  - Ramezanzadeh K, Sharifzadeh G, Saljughi M, Moodi M, Ibrahimzadeh A. 4 An epidemiological study of the infectious diseases of older adults hospitalized in hospitals affiliated to Birjand university of medical sciences, in 2016. Iran J Ageing. 2019;14:298-309.
  - Habibinejad HA, Riahin AA, Heidari A, Mahjourian F. Infectious diseases 5. in hospitalized children of central Iran. Pak J Med Sci. 2010;26:901-904.
  - 6. Sharifi-Mood B, Metanat M. Spectrum of clinical infectious diseases in hospitalized elderly patients in the Southeast of Iran. Turk J Med Sci. 2007:37:213-217.
  - Zand F, Vakili H, Asmarian N, Masjedi M, Sabetian G, et al. Unintended 7. impact of COVID-19 pandemic on the rate of catheter related nosocomial infections and incidence of multiple drug resistance pathogens in three intensive care units not allocated to COVID-19 patients in a large teaching hospital. BMC Infect Dis. 2023;23:11.
  - Jabrodini A, Bahrololuom SM, Amirinia F, Kharazi M, Mohamadi T, Meh-8. rabanpour R, et al. Prevalence and comparison of superficial-cutaneous fungal infections before and during the COVID-19 pandemic in Shiraz, Iran: a retrospective study (2018-2021). Indian J Microbiol. 2023;26:1-7.
  - 9. Jabarpour M, Dehghan M, Afsharipour G, Hajipour Abaee E, Mango lian Shahrbabaki P, et al. The impact of COVID-19 outbreak on noso comial infection rate: a case of Iran. Can J Infect Dis Med Microbiol. 2021:2021:6650920.
  - 10. Charan J, Biswas T. How to calculate sample size for different study designs in medical research? Indian J Psychol Med. 2013;35:121-126.

- 11. Holman RC, Hennessy TW, Haberling DL, Callinan LS, Singleton RJ, et al. Increasing trend in the rate of infectious disease hospitalisations among Alaska Native people. Int J Circumpolar Health. 2013;72:20994
- 12. Yorita Christensen KL, Holman RC, Steiner CA, Sejvar JJ, Stoll BJ, et al. Infectious disease hospitalizations in the United States. Clin Infect Dis. 2009;49:1025-1035.
- 13. Shichijo K, Fukura S, Takeuchi S, Tayama T, Ono A, et al. A surge in respiratory syncytial virus infection-related hospitalizations associated with the COVID-19 pandemic: an observational study at pediatric emergency referral hospitals in Tokushima Prefecture. PLOS Glob Public Health. 2023:3:1974.
- Pan J, Zhan C, Yuan T, Sun Y, Wang W, et al. Impact of the COVID-19 14 pandemic on infectious disease hospitalizations of neonates at a tertiary academic hospital: a cross-sectional study. BMC Infect Dis. 2022;22:206.
- Kim J-S, Kim Y-J, Ryoo SM, Sohn CH, Ahn S, et al. Risk factors for same 15. pathogen sepsis readmission following hospitalization for septic shock. J Clin Med. 2019:8:181.
- 16. Zhang W, Wu P, Chui CSL, Lim WW, Cowling BJ. Sepsis-associated hospitalisations and antimicrobial use in Hong Kong. Epidemiol Infect. 2021:149
- 17. Mostafavi SN, Rostami S, Nejad YR, Ataei B, Mobasherizadeh S, et al. Antimicrobial resistance in hospitalized patients with community acquired urinary tract infection in Isfahan, Iran. Arch Iran Med. 2021;24:187-192.
- 18. Gold JAW, Adjei S, Gundlapalli AV, Huang YLA, Chiller T, et al. Increased hospitalizations involving fungal infections during COVID-19 pandemic, United States, January 2020-December 2021. Emerg Infect Dis. 2023:29:1433-1437.
- Izu A, Nunes MC, Solomon F, Baillie V, Serafin N, et al. All-cause and 19. pathogen-specific lower respiratory tract infection hospital admissions in children younger than 5 years during the COVID-19 pandemic (2020-22) compared with the pre-pandemic period (2015-19) in South Africa: an observational study. Lancet Infect Dis. 2023;23:1031-1041.