

A binary logistic regression approach to identify factors affecting extravasation in chemotherapy treatment

Nihar Ranjan Panda^{1,2}, Jitendra Kumar Pati², Ruchi Bhuyan¹

¹IMS & SUM hospital Siksha O Anusandhan University (Deemed to be), Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India

²Department of mathematics, CV Raman Global University, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India

SUMMARY

Extravasations exert extra pressure on patients in terms of morbidity, mortality, health care expenses, and quality of life. Hence it is the need of the hour to know about the existing prevalence of extravasations to develop the protocol for the administration of chemotherapy. The main spotlight of the study is to determine the factors that affect extravasations and use these factors to reconstitute the existing mathematical model which can predict the status of extravasation for hospitalized patients who are going through chemotherapy.

Based on the data from a multispecialty hospital in Bhubaneswar, Odisha, a binary Logistic Regression model is fitted to deduce the relationship between independent and dependent variables to detect significant parameters.

The mean age of the patients was 33.17 ± 12 . Keeping 5% as the level of significance, we find the parameters namely gender ($p=0.043$) cannula to articulation ($p=0.023$), flushing after chemotherapy ($p<0.000$), and history of extravasation ($p<0.000$) are very significant. The model is adequate up to 79% on a case-to-case basis by establishing these factors in respect of their variation.

Key words: Extravasations, logistic regression, chemotherapy

Mathematics subject classifications: 62P10,62J12,62D05,62C05

INTRODUCTION

Extravasation may occur due to different kind of reason in the patients who receives chemotherapy [1]. This is happening by the cannula piercing the vessel wall or the leakage caused by increasing venous pressure. As per past data suggest 11% of pediatric patients and up to 70% of neonates getting intravenous treatment can get extravasation.

We may say that the risk of getting extravasation is high with peripheral intravenous catheters [2][3]. We can consider some risk factors for extravasation, increased skin and vein fragility, for example, neonates, multiple cannulas, flexible subcutaneous tissue, and chemotherapy. Also, we may consider some other risk factors for extravasation, for example, the inability to report pain. Inability to visualize insertion sites [4].

Extravasation is the procedure where any fluid unintentionally leaks into the nearby tissue. In cancer therapy, extravasation refers to the inadvertent infiltration of chemotherapy into the subcutaneous or sub-dermal tissues surrounding the intravenous or intra-arterial administration site [5][6][7].

RISK FACTORS FOR EXTRAVASATION

Satisfactory recognition of the probable factors for extravasation is important to reduce the danger in some patients. In case of an increased risk of extravasations. Most extravasations can be prohibited with the methodical execution of careful, consistent, evidence-based management techniques. To reduce the risk of extravasations, the staff concerned in the infusion and running of cytotoxic drugs must be skilled to implement some precautionary protocols [4,6,7]. Different studies had been conducted to compare the risk of extravasation in literature [4]. We illustrate the difference between patient-related and procedure-related risk factors for extravasation in table 1.

Description of study area and period

This study was carried out at CV Raman global university Bhubaneswar India. The data was collected from a multispecialty hospital in Bhubaneswar. A total of 330 patients were included in this study who received chemotherapy, the demographic variables of the patients were collected and analysed using the spss version 25 program.

Address for correspondence:

Dr. Ruchi Bhuyan, Professor ,Department of Medical Research, IMS & SUM hospital Siksha O Anusandhan University (Deemed to be), Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India, Email -ruchibhuyan@soa.ac.in

Word count: 2698 **Table:** 07 **Figures:** 00 **References:** 19

Received:- 24 June 2022, Manuscript No.OAR-22-67574

Editor assigned:- 26 June 2022, PreQC No. OAR-22-67574 (Q)

Reviewed:- 10 July 2020, QC No. OAR-22-67574 (PQ)

Revised:- 12 July 2022, Manuscript OAR-22-67574 (R)

Published:- 19 July 2022, Invoice No. J- 67574

Tab. 1. Comparison between Patient-related and procedure-related risk for extravasation	Patient-related	Procedure-related
	There might be the case of Small veins multiple times previous chemotherapy courses or drugs. important but transportable veins (e.g. old people) identified diseases or situations linked with a changed or impaired movement enlarged vascular permeability Fatness in which tangential venous access is harder. change in sensation at the place of chemotherapy supervision. Extended infusion.	Due to untrained or less experienced employees. If numerous attempts at cannula occurred. in some cases, the cannulation site is not favorable. It may happen due to Bolus injections. In some cases, High flow pressure is an issue. In some cases using Equipment is an issue. insufficient dressings or deprived cannula fixation. badly fixed CVAD

Tab. 2. Description of variables	Data	Types of variable	Data Types	Values
	Extravasation status	Dep	Factor	0 No 1 yes
	Age	Ind	Continuous	
	Gender	Ind	Factor	1 Male 2 Female
	Bmi	Ind	Continuous	
	Lifestyle	Ind	Factor	1 Sedentary 2 Nonsedentary
	Bmi category	Ind	Factor	0 No obese 1 Obese
	Name of vein	Ind	Factor	1 Major 2 Minor
	Type of administration	Ind	Factor	1 other 2 iv infusion
	Cannula to articulation	Ind	Factor	1 Yes 2 No
	After flush	Ind	Factor	1 Yes 2 No
	Before flush	Ind	Factor	1 Yes 2 No
	History of Extravasation	Ind	Factor	1 yes 2 No

Study Design

We have used qualitative as well as quantitative research designs.

Variables Identification

The dependent variable of this study is “extravasation status” which has two binary outcomes if a patient has an extravasation status coded as 1 and if a patient has no extravasation status coded as 0. The predictor variables consider the age of the patient, gender, lifestyle, BMI, BMI category, name of a vein, type of administration, cannula to articulation, after the flush, before the flush, and history of extravasation in table 2&3.

Assumptions of Binary logistic Regression (BLR) model

The characteristic of the BLR model is that the dependent variable only takes on two possible outcomes [8,9,10]. The characteristics of the BLR model are based on independent observations [11]. Multicollinearity is having a bad impact on the logistic regression model, so the characteristic of the BLR model is that a high degree of correlation between the variables is not preferred [12,13,14]. Multicollinearity occurs when two or

more explanatory variables are highly correlated to each other. In the case of high degree correlation, there is a difficulty for fitting and interpretation of the model. The BLR model is having no extreme outliers, which affects the results of the relevant model. A linear relationship occurs between each variable and the logit of the response variable. [15,16,17]

Logistic Regression model

The concerned model has a little bit of resemblance with the regression equation. In the case of having one predictor variable X_1 , the logistic regression equation gives a probability of Y as,

$$P(Y) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1)}} = \frac{e^{(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1)}}{1 + e^{(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1)}}$$

The extension of this equation may include several predictors. In the case of several predictors we have,

$$P(Y) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_3 x_3 + \dots + \beta_i x_i)}} \tag{1}$$

$$= \frac{e^{(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_3 x_3 + \dots + \beta_i x_i)}}{1 + e^{(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_3 x_3 + \dots + \beta_i x_i)}} \tag{2}$$

Where $\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_i$ are the coefficients of a regression equation? And x_0, x_1, x_2, x_i are the independent variables in the given equation.

The above two equations are the same. The linear combination has been extended for any number of predictors.

The Binary Logistic Regression Model

In a logistic regression model, if the dependent variable is categorized into two parts with binary indicator variables 0 and 1 like “yes” or “no”, we apply the logistic regression model.

We can divide the independent variable of the logistic model into 2 types.

- Continuous Variables: it assumes any value within a specified range in the data set.
- Discrete Variable: It assumes only certain values.

Introducing a “link function” that links the Dependent variable and independent variable

$$E(y_i) = \pi_i = \frac{e^{(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_3 x_3 + \beta_i x_i)}}{1 + e^{(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_3 x_3 + \beta_i x_i)}} \quad (3)$$

where π_i is defined as the probability that the response variable $y = 1$, β_0 is defined as the constant, and β_i is defined the coefficient of the predictor variable x_i . The link function (x) allows the response variable to be modelled as:

$(x) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \dots + \beta_i x_i \dots \dots$ For a given binomial response variable, the logistic (logit) a link is defined as the natural logarithm of the odds ratio:

$$(x) = \ln \left[\frac{\pi_i}{1 - \pi_i} \right]$$

Hence we can easily solve the logistic regression model as:

$$(x) = \ln \left[\frac{\pi_i}{1 - \pi_i} \right] = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \dots + \beta_i x_i \dots \dots$$

Using data and with the help of maximum likelihood techniques, we find out the equation with intercept (constant) β_0 and variable coefficients β_i .

To obtain the significance of the coefficient of a logistic regression model, we may use the wald statistic test and the likelihood ratio test.

$$\frac{\hat{\beta}^2}{[s.e(\hat{\beta})]^2}$$

Here $\hat{\beta}$ is defined as the estimated coefficient β and $s.e(\hat{\beta})$ is defined as its standard error.

We define the G statistic as the likelihood ratio test for the overall significance of the beta's coefficients for the independent variables as

$$G = -2 \ln \left[\frac{\text{Likelihood without the variable}}{\text{Likelihood with the variable}} \right]$$

For fitness of the model we use likelihood statistic L. Using the concept of the null hypothesis with a view that all the regression coefficient of the model is zero, we may say the p-value takes

measure roll for checking the variables as significant.

Basically, to check the goodness of fit of the logistic regression model we use the Hosmer and Lemeshow method. The method was mainly based on the value of estimated probabilities.

We also make a test by using Pearson χ^2 statistic from observed and expected frequencies as,

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^g \frac{(o_i - N_i \pi_i)^2}{N_i - \pi_i (1 - \pi_i)}$$

Where; N_i is defined as the number of observations in the i th group. o_i is defined as the number of event outcomes in the i th group. π_i is defined as the average estimated probability of an event outcome for the i th group.

Odds ratio

The odds ratio plays a vital role in the logistic regression, model [18]. This is the measure of association for the 2×2 contingency table. π_1 is the probability of success in row 1 and π_2 in row 2 [18,19]. Within row 1, the odds of success are defined to be:

$$\text{Odds1} = \frac{\pi_1}{1 - \pi_1} \quad \text{Odds2} = \frac{\pi_2}{1 - \pi_2}$$

$$\hat{e} = \frac{\text{Odds1}}{\text{Odds2}} = \frac{\frac{\pi_1}{1 - \pi_1}}{\frac{\pi_2}{1 - \pi_2}}$$

For the binary regression model, the odds ratio is the exponent $e(\beta_i)$ is the ratio of odds for a one-unit change in one variable. When the two groups of odds are identical then the odds ratio is equal to one.

Data and method of analysis

A simple random sampling method was used to collect the data in the hospital, which is located in Bhubaneswar Odisha.

The outcomes are: $Y = 1$ if extravasation occurs

$Y = 0$ if extravasation does not occur

$$Y = \left. \begin{matrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right\} \begin{matrix} \text{No Extravasation} \\ \text{Extravasation} \end{matrix}$$

As per calculation, we obtain:

- From the table 4, the odds ratio for age is 1.006.
- From the table 4, the odds ratio for gender is 0.557.
- From the table 4, the odds ratio for lifestyle is 1.084
- From the table 4, the odds ratio for bmi is 1.033.
- From the table 4, the odds ratio for bmi category is 0.821 and so on.
- From the table 4, the odds ratio for past history of extravasations is 14.149.

Here we can say that the covariates cannula to articulation, history of extravasation, and flushing after chemotherapy are

Tab. 4. Association of independent variables with the status of extravasation.

Variables	B	S.E	Wald	df	Sig	Exp (B)
Age	6	0.008	0.602	1	0.431	1.006
Gender	-0.55	0.284	3.742	1	0.043	0.557
Life style	0.081	0.297	0.074	1	0.785	1.084
Bmi	0.033	0.039	0.696	1	0.404	1.033
Bmicategory	-0.198	0.558	0.125	1	0.723	0.821
Name of vein	-0.572	0.485	1.391	1	0.238	0.564
Types of administration	0.434	0.429	1.024	1	0.312	1.543
cannula to articulation	0.775	0.34	5.2	1	0.023	2.171
Past history of Extravasation	2.647	0.421	39.468	1	0	14.149
before flush	0.162	0.352	0.352	1	0.646	1.175
after flush	-1.311	0.376	0.376	1	0	0.269
Constant	-4.474	-0.474	1.222	1	0.698	0.622

Tab. 5. Classification matrix based on logistic regression model

Observed	Predicted		
	No	Yes	Percentage
Extravasation Status			
No	194	16	92.4
Yes	54	66	55
Overall Percentage			78.8

Tab. 6. Model summary based on logistic regression model

Step	-2 Log likelihood	Cox & Snell R Square	Nagelkerke R Square
1	330.79	.466	.563

Tab. 7. Hosmer and Lemeshow Test

Step	Chi-square value	Degrees of freedom	significance
1	5.694	8	.682

statistically significant, while the covariate's age, BMI, gender, name of the vein, etc. are not significant factors.

The corresponding logit is

$$Y = \text{logit} = -4.474 + 0.006X_1 - 0.550X_2 + 0.081X_3 + 0.033X_4 - 0.198X_5 - 0.572X_6 + 0.434X_7 + 0.775X_8 + 2.647X_9 + 0.162X_{10} - 1.311X_{11}$$

In other words, we can write

$$Y = \text{logit} = -4.474 + 0.006(\text{age}) - 0.550(\text{gender}) + 0.081(\text{lifestyle}) + 0.033(\text{Bmi}) - 0.198(\text{bmicat}) - 0.572(\text{Name of the vein}) + 0.434(\text{Types of administration}) + 0.775(\text{cannula to articulation}) + 2.647(\text{History of Extravasation}) + 0.162(\text{before flush}) - 1.311(\text{after flush})$$

$$\left(\frac{Y}{X_1} = x_1, X_2 = x_2, X_3 = x_3, X_4 = x_4, X_5 = x_5, X_6 = x_6, X_7 = x_7, X_8 = x_8, X_9 = x_9, X_{10} = x_{10}\right)$$

$$\frac{e^{(\beta_0 + \beta_1x_1 + \beta_2x_2 + \beta_3x_3 + \beta_4x_4 + \beta_5x_5 + \beta_6x_6 + \beta_7x_7 + \beta_8x_8 + \beta_9x_9 + \beta_{10}x_{10})}}{1 + e^{(\beta_0 + \beta_1x_1 + \beta_2x_2 + \beta_3x_3 + \beta_4x_4 + \beta_5x_5 + \beta_6x_6 + \beta_7x_7 + \beta_8x_8 + \beta_9x_9 + \beta_{10}x_{10})}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{e^{-(\beta_0 + \beta_1x_1 + \beta_2x_2 + \beta_3x_3 + \beta_4x_4 + \beta_5x_5 + \beta_6x_6 + \beta_7x_7 + \beta_8x_8 + \beta_9x_9 + \beta_{10}x_{10})}}$$

There is a non-linear relationship between outcome and predictor variables

$$P(y) = \frac{e^{-4.74 + 0.006(\text{age}) - 0.550(\text{gender}) + 0.081(\text{lifestyle}) + 0.033(\text{Bmi}) - 0.198(\text{bmicategory} + \dots)}}{1 + e^{-4.74 + 0.006(\text{age}) - 0.550(\text{gender}) + 0.081(\text{lifestyle}) + 0.033(\text{Bmi}) - 0.198(\text{bmicategory} + \dots)}}$$

From table 5:

- a. 92.4% of the patients had not extravasation correctly classified and 7.6% were incorrectly classified.
- b. 55% of the patients having extravasation were correctly classified, 45% of the cases were not classified correctly.
- c. The model is quite good and reliable because the total correct percentage was 78.8%.

From Table 6, we can say that the value of Cox & Snell R Square indicates 46.6% of the variation in the model. From the table 6, Nagelkerke R Square indicates a moderately strong relationship of 56.3% between the predictors and the prediction.

From the table 7, the value of the Hosmer Lemeshow goodness-of-fit statistic for the full model was Chi-square=5.694 and the p-value from the chi-square distribution with 8 degrees of freedom is 0.682. This value indicates that the p-value of chi-square is not significant, which is good for our model.

DISCUSSIONS OF FINDINGS

Based on the observations regarding the model, we herewith conclude that the Model is effective in correlating the parameters with a 5% level of significance. Increasing the level of significance to 10% we recommend there is a possibility

of increasing the significant factors. In our future work, the logistic regression curve may be analyzed concerning Points of inflections extremum correlating with the significant factors. so overall the model is recommended for the state of extravasation up to a 5% level of significance.

- | | |
|------------|--|
| REFERENCES | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. European Oncology Nursing Society (EONS). Extravasation Guidelines 2007. (1 June 2012, date last accessed Wengström Y, Margulies A. European oncology nursing society extravasation guidelines. Eur J Oncol Nurs.2008;12:357-361. 2. Langer SW, Sehested M, Jensen PB. Anthracycline extravasation: a comprehensive review of experimental and clinical treatments. Tumori J.2009;95:273-282. 3. Tsavaris NB, Karagiaouris P, Tzannou I, Komitsopoulou P, Bacoyiannis C, et al. Conservative approach to the treatment of chemotherapy-induced extravasation. J dermatol surg oncol. 1990;16:519-522. 4. Langer SW, Thougard AV, Sehested M, Jensen PB. Treatment of experimental extravasation of amrubicin, liposomal doxorubicin, and mitoxantrone with dexrazoxane. Cancer chemother pharmacol. 2012;69:573-576. 5. Tsavaris NB, Komitsopoulou P, Karagiaouris P, Loukatou P, Tzannou I, et al. Prevention of tissue necrosis due to accidental extravasation of cytostatic drugs by a conservative approach. Cancer chemother pharmacol.1992;30:330-333. 6. Kerker B, Hood A. Chemotherapy-induced cutaneous reactions.Semin Dermatol.1989;8:173–181. 7. Abdulqader Qm. Comparison of discriminant analysis and logistic regression analysis: An application on caesarean births and natural births data. Yuz Yil Univ J Sci Inst.2015;20:34-46. 8. Cabrera AF. Logistic regression analysis in higher education: An applied perspective. Higher education: Handbook of theory and research.1994;10:225-256. 9. Ahani E, Abass O, Okafor Ray O. Application of Logistic Regression Model to Graduating CGPA of University Graduates.J Mod Math Stat.2010;4 :62. 10. Hauck Jr WW, Donner A. Wald's test as applied to hypotheses in logit analysis. J am stat assoc.1977;72:851-853. 11. Jennings DE. Judging inference adequacy in logistic regression. J. Am. Stat. Assoc.1986;81:471-476. 12. Hair JF, Black WC, Babin BJ, Anderson RE. Multivariate data analysis. Seven Editions. 13. Lei PW, Koehly LM. Linear discriminant analysis versus logistic regression: A comparison of classification errors in the two-group case. J Exp Educ. 2003;72:25-49. 14. Venables WN, Ripley BD. Modern applied statistics with S. Fourth edition. Springer N Y.2022 15. Hosmer, D. W., Lemeshow, S. & Sturdivant, R.X. Applied Logistic Regression 3rd Edition. West Point, The United States of America. Wiley Intersci. Publ. 2013. 16. Agresti A. An introduction to categorical data analysis. John Wiley Sons.2018. 17. Hosmer DW, Lemeshow S. Applied logistic regression.(John Wiley & Sons, Inc.: New York). 18. Menard S. Applied logistic regression analysis. Sage; 2002. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] 19. Menard S. Coefficients of determination for multiple logistic regression analysis. Am. Stat. 2000;54:17-24. |
|------------|--|